arXiv:1611.01718v1 [math.NT] 6 Nov 2016

A Formula for the S-Class Number of an Algebraic Torus

Minh-Hoang Tran

Abstract

We obtain a formula for the S-class number of an algebraic torus defined over a number field in terms of the étale and Galois cohomology groups of its character module. As applications, we give different proofs of some classical class number formulas of Shyr, Ono, Katayama and Morishita.

1 Introduction

Let T be an algebraic torus defined over a number field K with character group $\hat{T}$. Let S_∞ be the set of all archimedean places of K and S be a finite set of places of K containing S_∞. Let $O_{K,S}$ be the ring of S-unit integers and $U = Spec(O_{K,S})$. We write $j : Spec(K) \to U$ for the inclusion of the generic point.

For each finite prime v of K, let I_v be the inertia group of v. For $n \geq 1$, the Tate-Shafarevich group $Ш^n(T)$ is defined as the kernel of the restriction map

$$\Delta^n : H^n(K,T) \to \prod_{\text{all } v} H^n(K_v,T).$$

We also write $[M]$ for the order of a finite group M. Our main result is the following formula for the S-class number $h_{T,S}$ of T.

Main Theorem. *Let T be an algebraic torus over a number field K with character group $\hat{T}$. Let $\Psi^n(j_*\hat{T})$ be the map $H^n_{et}(U, j_*\hat{T}) \to \prod_{v\in S} H^n(K_v, \hat{T})$. Then*

$$h_{T,S} = \frac{[Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)][H^1(K,\hat{T})]}{[\ker\Psi^1(j_*\hat{T})][Ш^1(T)]\prod_{v\in S}[H^1(K_v,T)]\prod_{v\notin S}[H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v,\hat{T}))]}. \tag{1.1}$$

The class number is an important invariant of an algebraic torus. For example, it appears in the formulas for the special values of the L-function of the torus [Ono61]. Shyr proved a formula relating two class numbers of two isogenous tori in [Shy77]. Using Shyr's result, Ono [Ono87] obtained a formula for the class number of a norm torus of a Galois extension. Using a different method, Katayama [Kat91] found formulas for the class numbers of a norm torus and its dual for an arbitrary extension. Morishita used the techniques from Nisnevich cohomology to generalize Ono's formula to S-class number [Mor91]. More recently, Gonzalez-Aviles used the Nisnevich cohomology and the result of Xarles [Xar93] on the group of components of Néron-Raynauld models of tori to give a generalization of Chevalley's ambiguous class number formula and the Capitulation problem [GA08],[GA10]. Even though the Nisnevich cohomology seems more natural for the questions concerning the class numbers of tori, we work with the étale cohomolgy as it is more elementary and has more machinery. The proof of our Main Theorem uses homological algebra techniques together with results in Galois and étale cohomology, for example the Poitou-Tate exact sequence

and the Artin-Verdier Duality. As applications, we will give different proofs of the formulas of Shyr, Ono, Katayama and Morishita mentioned above. Although the following is not discussed in this paper, we cannot resist mentioning that (1.1) will be used in a future paper to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let T be an algebraic torus defined over a number field K with character group $\hat{T}$. Suppose S is a finite set of places of K containing S_∞. We denote $h_{T,S}$, $R_{T,S}$ and w_T for the S-class number, the S-regulator and the number of roots of unity of T respectively. Let $L_S(\hat{T}, s)$ be the partial Artin L-function associated with the G_K-representation $\hat{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ modulo the local factors at S. Then* $\mathrm{ord}_{s=0} L_S(\hat{T}, s) = \mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} T(O_{K,S})$ *and*

$$L_S^*(\hat{T}, 0) \quad = \quad \pm \frac{h_{T,S} R_{T,S}}{w_T} \frac{[Ш^1(T)]}{[H^1(K, \hat{T})]} \prod_{v \in S} [H^1(K_v, \hat{T})] \prod_{v \notin S} [H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, \hat{T}))]. \tag{1.2}$$

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall some basic facts about algebraic tori and the Artin-Verdier Duality. In section 3, we obtain an exact sequence for Galois modules over local fields which is necessary for the proof of (1.1) given in section 4. The rest of the paper is for applications of (1.1). More precisely, we give different proofs of the formulas of Ono, Katayama and Morishita in section 5 and generalize the formula of Shyr in section 6.

This paper is part of my PhD thesis written at Brown University. I would like to express my gratitude to my advisor Professor Stephen Lichtenbaum for his guidance and encouragement. It is my pleasure to acknowledge the support from the Deutsche Forschungsgesellschaft through the SFB 1085 Higher Invariant Research Group at University of Regensburg. Finally, I would like to thank Professor Guido Kings for his support and my friend Yigeng Zhao for many helpful conversations.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Algebraic Tori

Let T be an algebraic torus defined over a number field K with character group $\hat{T}$. For each place v of K, let T_v be the base extension of T to K_v and let $\hat{T}_v$ be the character group of T_v. We define T_v^c to be

$$T_v^c := \{x \in T_v(K_v) \text{ such that for all } \chi \in H^0(K_v, \hat{T}_v), |\chi(x)|_v = 1\}.$$

Then T_v^c is the unique maximal compact subgroup of $T_v(K_v)$. If v is a finite place then $T_v^c \simeq Hom_{K_v}(\hat{T}_v, O_v^*)$ [Ono61, page 115-116]. The following definitions are taken from [Ono61].

Definition 2.1. *Let S be a finite set of places of K which contains S_∞. Define*

$$T_{\mathbb{A},S} := \prod_{v \in S} T_v(K_v) \times \prod_{v \notin S} T_v^c \qquad \& \qquad T_{\mathbb{A}} := \varinjlim_{S \text{ finite}} T_{\mathbb{A},S}$$

Definition 2.2. *Let S be a finite set of places of K containing S_∞. Define*

1. *$T(O_{K,S}) := T_{\mathbb{A},S} \cap T(K)$ - the S-units of T.*
2. *$Cl_S(T) := T_{\mathbb{A}}/T(K)T_{\mathbb{A},S}$ - the S-class group of T.*

Then $T(O_{K,S})$ is finitely generated and $Cl_S(T)$ is a finite abelian group. We denote $h_{T,S}$ for the order of $Cl_S(T)$, it is also called the S-class number of T.

Proposition 2.3. *We have the exact sequences*

$$0 \to T(O_{K,S}) \to T(K) \to \coprod_{v \notin S} T(K_v)/T_v^c \to Cl_S(T) \to 0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$0 \to T(O_K) \to T(O_{K,S}) \to \prod_{v \in S - S_\infty} T(K_v)/T_v^c \to Cl(T) \to Cl_S(T) \to 0. \tag{2.2}$$

In particular, $T(O_{K,S})_{tor} \simeq T(O_K)_{tor}$.

Proof. Note that $T_{\mathbb{A}}/T_{\mathbb{A},S} \simeq \coprod_{v \notin S} T(K_v)/T_v^c$. Then (2.1) follows immediately. To prove (2.2), we only need to apply the Snake Lemma to the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & T(K) & \longrightarrow & T(K) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in S - S_\infty} \frac{T(K_v)}{T_v^c} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \notin S_\infty} \frac{T(K_v)}{T_v^c} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \notin S} \frac{T(K_v)}{T_v^c} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

and invoke (2.1) for S and S_∞. The last statement follows from (2.2) and the fact that $T(K_v)/T_v^c$ is torsion free. □

2.2 Artin-Verdier Duality

Let K be a number field with Galois group G_K and $X = Spec(O_K)$. Let U be an open subscheme of X. For any sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on U, let $\mathcal{F}_v$ be the unique discrete G_{K_v}-module corresponding to the pull-back of $\mathcal{F}$ to $Spec(K_v)$. In [Mil06, page 165], Milne constructed the cohomology groups with compact support $H_c^r(U, \mathcal{F})$ which satisfies the following long exact sequence

$$... \to H_c^r(U, \mathcal{F}) \to H_{et}^r(U, \mathcal{F}) \to \bigoplus_{v \notin U} H^r(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v) \to ... \tag{2.3}$$

where $H^r(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)$ is the usual Galois cohomology if v is a finite prime and is the Tate cohomology if v is an archimedean prime. For an abelian group A, let $\hat{A}$ be the profinite completion of A and $A^D := Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. The following theorem will be used many times in this paper.

Theorem 2.4 (Artin-Verdier Duality)**.** *There is a canonical isomorphism* $H_c^3(U, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. *Furthermore, for any* $\mathbb{Z}$*-constructible sheaf* $\mathcal{F}$ *on* U*, the pairing*

$$H_c^{3-r}(U, \mathcal{F}) \times Ext_U^r(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m) \to H_c^3(U, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

induces a map $\alpha^r(\mathcal{F}) : Ext_U^r(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m) \to H_c^{3-r}(U, \mathcal{F})^D$ *which satisfies*

1. *For* $r = 0, 1$, $Ext_U^r(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ *is finitely generated and* $\alpha^r(\mathcal{F})$ *induces an isomorphism of profinite groups*
$$\hat{\alpha}^r(\mathcal{F}) : \widehat{Ext_U^r(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)} \to H_c^{3-r}(U, \mathcal{F})^D.$$
2. *For* $r = 2, 3$, $\alpha^r(\mathcal{F})$ *is an isomorphism between groups of cofinite type.*

Furthermore, if $\mathcal{F}$ *is constructible then* $\alpha^r(\mathcal{F})$ *is an isomorphism of finite groups for all* r.

Proof. See [Mil06, II.3.1]. □

Definition 2.5. *We say $\mathcal{F}$ is a negligible sheaf on U if $\mathcal{F}$ has finite support and its stalks are finite everywhere. Note that negligible sheaves are constructible.*

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a negligible sheaf on U. Then*

1. *$H^n_c(U,\mathcal{F}) \simeq H^n_{et}(U,\mathcal{F})$ for all n.*
2. *$H^n_{et}(U,\mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $n > 1$ and $Ext^n_U(\mathcal{F},\mathbb{G}_m) = 0$ for $n = 0, 1$.*
3. *$[H^0_{et}(U,\mathcal{F})] = [H^1_{et}(U,\mathcal{F})]$ and $[Ext^2_U(\mathcal{F},\mathbb{G}_m)] = [Ext^3_U(\mathcal{F},\mathbb{G}_m)]$.*

Proof. It suffices to assume $\mathcal{F} = i_*M$ where $i : p \to U$ is the immersion of a closed point p of U and M is a finite discrete $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module. As the generic stalk of i_*M vanishes, $H^n_c(U, i_*M) \simeq H^n_{et}(U, i_*M)$ by (2.3). Since $H^n_{et}(U, i_*M) \simeq H^n(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, M)$ and $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ has cohomological dimension 2, $H^n_{et}(U, i_*M) = 0$ for $n > 1$. Hence, by Theorem 2.4, $Ext^n_U(i_*M,\mathbb{G}_m) = 0$ for $n = 0, 1$. Finally, $[H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, M)] = [H^1(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, M)]$ by [Mil06, page 32]. □

Example 2.7. *Let N be a discrete G_K-module, torsion free as an abelian group. Then any subsheaf of R^1j_*N is negligible. Indeed, the generic stalk of R^1j_*N vanishes so it only has finite support. Moreover, if $\bar{p}$ is a geometric point over a closed point p of U then $(R^1j_*N)_{\bar{p}} \simeq H^1(I_p, N)$ which is finite.*

Proposition 2.8. *Let M be a discrete G_K-module, torsion free and finitely generated as an abelian group. Then*

1. *$H^0_{et}(U, j_*M)$, $H^0_c(U, j_*M)$, $H^1_c(U, j_*M)$ and $Hom_U(j_*M,\mathbb{G}_m)$ are finitely generated.*
2. *$H^1_{et}(U, j_*M)$, $H^2_c(X, j_*M)$ and $Ext^1_U(j_*M,\mathbb{G}_m)$ are finite abelian groups.*
3. *$Ext^n_U(j_*M,\mathbb{G}_m)$ are of cofinite type for $n = 2, 3$.*

Proof. By [Ono61, 1.5.1], there exist finitely many Galois extensions $\{K_\mu\}_\mu$, $\{K_\lambda\}_\lambda$ of K, a positive integers n, and a finite G_K-module N such that we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to M^n \oplus \prod_\mu (\pi_\mu)_*\mathbb{Z} \to \prod_\lambda (\pi_\lambda)_*\mathbb{Z} \to N \to 0, \tag{2.4}$$

where π_μ is the map $Spec(K_\mu) \to Spec(K)$. Let V_μ be the normalization of U in K_μ and π'_μ be the map $V_\mu \to U$. Applying j_* to (2.4) we obtain

$$0 \to (j_*M)^n \oplus \prod_\mu (\pi'_\mu)_*\mathbb{Z} \to \prod_\lambda (\pi'_\lambda)_*\mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{Q} \to 0 \tag{2.5}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}$ is a constructible sheaf. From [Mil06, II.2.1], $Ext^n_U((\pi'_\mu)_*\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{G}_m) \simeq H^n_{et}(V_\mu,\mathbb{G}_m)$ is finitely generated, finite, cofinite type for $n = 0, 1$ and $2, 3$ respectively. Therefore, by the long exact sequence of the Ext-groups associated to (2.5), we obtain the similar statement for $Ext^n_U(j_*M,\mathbb{G}_m)$. As $Ext^1_U(j_*M,\mathbb{G}_m)$ is finite, by Artin-Verdier duality, $H^2_c(U, j_*M)$ is also finite.

As $H^0_{et}(U, j_*M) \simeq H^0(K, M)$, it is finitely generated. By the long exact sequence of $H^n_{et}(U, .)$ associated with (2.5) and the fact that $H^1_{et}(U,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$, we deduce that $H^1_{et}(U, j_*M)$ is finite. Finally, we have the exact sequence from (2.3)

$$0 \to \prod_{v\in S} H^{-1}(K_v, M) \to H^0_c(U, j_*M) \to H^0(K, M) \to \prod_{v\in S} H^0(K_v, M) \to H^1_c(U, j_*M) \to H^1_{et}(U, j_*M)$$

Since $\prod_{v\in S} H^n(K_v, M)$ is finitely generated for all n (note that for $v \in S_\infty$, $H^n(K_v, M)$ means the Tate cohomology group), $H^n_c(U, j_*M)$ is finitely generated for $n = 0, 1$. □

3 Local Galois Cohomology

Let K be a number field with Galois group G_K. Let U be an open subscheme of $Spec(O_K)$ and $j : Spec(K) \to U$. For each finite place v of K, we write K_v^{ur} for the maximal unramified extension of the completion K_v of K. We denote by $I_v = G(\bar{K}_v/K_v^{ur})$ the inertia group of v. Let O_v, O_v^{ur} and $\bar{O}_v$ be the valuation rings of K_v, K_v^{ur} and $\bar{K}_v$ respectively. For the rest of this paper, by a discrete G_K-module, we mean a finitely generated abelian group with continuous G_K-action.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf on U and $\mathcal{F}_K$ be the G_K-module corresponding to the sheaf $j^*\mathcal{F}$ on $Spec(K)$. Let $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_K = Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{F}_K, \bar{K}^*)$. Then*

1. *$Ext_U^n(\mathcal{F}, j_*\mathbb{G}_m) \simeq H^n(K, \hat{\mathcal{F}}_K)$. In particular, $Ext_U^n(j_*\hat{T}, j_*\mathbb{G}_m) \simeq H^n(K, T)$.*
2. *Let $i : v \to U$ be a closed immersion. Then $Ext_U^n(\mathcal{F}, i_*\mathbb{Z}) \simeq Ext_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}^n(\mathcal{F}_K^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z})$. In particular, $Ext_U^n(j_*\hat{T}, i_*\mathbb{Z}) \simeq Ext_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}^n(\hat{T}^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. 1. From [Mil06, II.1.4], $R^q j_*\mathbb{G}_m = 0$ for $q > 0$. Thus the spectral sequence

$$Ext_U^p(\mathcal{F}, R^q j_*\mathbb{G}_m) \Rightarrow Ext_{G_K}^{p+q}(\mathcal{F}_K, \bar{K}^*)$$

collapses and yields $Ext_U^p(\mathcal{F}, j_*\mathbb{G}_m) \simeq Ext_{G_K}^p(\mathcal{F}_K, \bar{K}^*)$. From [Mil06, I.0.8], there is a spectral sequence

$$H^p(G_K, Ext_{\mathbb{Z}}^q(\mathcal{F}_K, \bar{K}^*)) \Rightarrow Ext_{G_K}^{p+q}(\mathcal{F}_K, \bar{K}^*).$$

Since $\bar{K}^*$ is divisible, $Ext_{\mathbb{Z}}^q(N, \bar{K}^*) = 0$ for $q > 0$. Thus, $H^p(G_K, Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{F}_K, \bar{K}^*)) \simeq Ext_{G_K}^p(\mathcal{F}_K, \bar{K}^*)$. Hence, $Ext_U^n(\mathcal{F}_K, j_*\mathbb{G}_m) \simeq H^n(K, \hat{\mathcal{F}}_K)$. Finally, if $\mathcal{F} = j_*\hat{T}$ then $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_K = Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(\hat{T}, \bar{K}^*) \simeq T(\bar{K})$. Therefore, $Ext_U^n(j_*\hat{T}, j_*\mathbb{G}_m) \simeq H^n(K, T)$.

2. Since i_* is exact, the spectral sequence

$$Ext_U^n(\mathcal{F}, R^m i_*\mathbb{Z}) \Rightarrow Ext_v^{n+m}(i^*\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq Ext_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}^{n+m}(\mathcal{F}_K^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z})$$

implies that $Ext_U^n(\mathcal{F}, i_*\mathbb{Z}) \simeq Ext_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}^n(\mathcal{F}_K^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z})$.

□

Proposition 3.2. *Let N be a discrete G_{K_v}-module. Let $\hat{N} = Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \bar{K}_v^*)$ and $\hat{N}^c = Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \bar{O}_v^*)$. Then*

1. *$H^0(K_v, \hat{N}^c) = \{f \in Hom_{G_{K_v}}(N, \bar{K}_v^*) :$ for all $x \in H^0(K_v, N)$, we have $f(x) \in O_v^*\}$.*
2. *$Ext_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}^2(N^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^2(K_v, \hat{N})$.*
3. *The following sequence is exact*

$$0 \to H^0(K_v, \hat{N}^c) \to H^0(K_v, \hat{N}) \to Hom_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(N^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, N))^D \to$$
$$\to H^1(K_v, \hat{N}) \to Ext_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}^1(N^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z}) \to 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. 1. Let $f \in H^0(K_v, \hat{N}^c)$. For any $x \in H^0(K_v, N)$, $f(x) \in \bar{O}_v^*$ by definition. As f is G_{K_v}-invariant, $f(x) \in O_v^*$. Thus, $H^0(K_v, \hat{N}^c)$ is a subset of the right hand side.

Conversely, let f be an element of the right hand side. Let L_v be a finite Galois extension of K_v such that the Galois group G_{L_v} acts trivially on N. For $x \in N$, $f(x) \in L_v^*$ as $N = H^0(L_v, N)$. We have $N_{L_v/K_v}(f(x)) = f(Tr_{L_v/K_v}(x))$. As $Tr_{L_v/K_v}(x) \in H^0(K_v, N)$, $f(Tr_{L_v/K_v}(x)) \in O_v^*$. Hence, $N_{L_v/K_v}(f(x)) \in O_v^*$. We deduce that $f(x) \in O_{L_v}^* \subset \bar{O}_v^*$. As a result, the right hand side is a subset of $H^0(K_v, \hat{N}^c)$.

2. By [Mil06, I.1.10], $Ext^2_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(N^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, N^{I_v})^D \simeq H^0(K_v, N)^D$. From [Mil06, I.2.1], we have $H^2(K_v, \hat{N}) \simeq H^0(K_v, N)^D$. Thus, $H^2(K_v, \hat{N}) \simeq Ext^2_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(N^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z})$.

3. From the spectral sequence $H^r(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^s(I_v, N)) \Rightarrow H^{r+s}(K_v, N)$, we obtain

$$0 \to H^1(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, N^{I_v}) \to H^1(K_v, N) \to H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, N)) \to H^2(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, N^{I_v}) \to H^2(K_v, N)$$

Taking Pontryagin dual and use Tate's local duality Theorem, we have

$$\widehat{H^0(K_v, \hat{N})} \xrightarrow{\hat{\Psi}} \widehat{Hom_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(N^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z})} \to H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, N))^D \to H^1(K_v, \hat{N}) \to Ext^1_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(N^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z}) \to 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Let $W = \mathrm{cok}\hat{\Psi}$. As $H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, N))$ is finite, so is W. To complete the proof, we shall show the following sequence is exact.

$$0 \to H^0(K_v, \hat{N}^c) \to H^0(K_v, \hat{N}) \xrightarrow{\Psi} Hom_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(N^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z}) \to W \to 0.$$

The map Ψ is defined as follows : for $f \in H^0(K_v, \hat{N})$ and $x \in N^{I_v}$, $\Psi(f)(x) := v(f(x))$ where v is the normalized valuation of K_v. Then Ψ is a continuous map and

$$\ker \Psi = \{f \in Hom_{G_{K_v}}(N, \bar{K}_v^*) : \text{ for all } x \in N^{I_v}, \text{ we have } f(x) \in (O_v^{ur})^*\}.$$

Claim : $\ker \Psi = H^0(K_v, \hat{N}^c)$.

Proof of claim :

- Let $f \in H^0(K_v, \hat{N}^c)$ and $x \in N^{I_v}$. Then $f(x) \in H^0(I_v, \bar{K}^*) \cap \bar{O_v}^* = (O_v^{ur})^*$. Therefore, $H^0(K_v, \hat{N}^c) \subset \ker \Psi$.
- To prove the other inclusion, we use the description of $H^0(K_v, \hat{N}^c)$ from part 1. Let $f \in \ker \Psi$ and $x \in H^0(K, N)$. Then $f(x) \in (O_v^{ur})^*$ by definition. Since $f(x) \in K_v^*$, $f(x) \in (O_v^{ur})^* \cap K_v^* = O_v^*$. Hence, $\ker \Psi \subset H^0(K_v, \hat{N}^c)$.

Let $W' = \mathrm{cok}(\Psi)$. We have the following exact sequence where all the maps are strict morphisms [Mil06, page13]

$$0 \to H^0(K_v, \hat{N}^c) \to H^0(K_v, \hat{N}) \xrightarrow{\Psi} Hom_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(N^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z}) \to W' \to 0.$$

As profinite completion is exact for sequences with strict morphisms [Mil06, page14],

$$0 \to \widehat{H^0(K_v, \hat{N}^c)} \to \widehat{H^0(K_v, \hat{N})} \xrightarrow{\hat{\Psi}} \widehat{Hom_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(N^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z})} \to \hat{W}' \to 0.$$

As $H^0(K_v, \hat{N}^c)$ is compact and totally disconnected (topologically it is a product of finitely many copies of O_v^*), it is a profinite group. Therefore, $\widehat{H^0(K_v, \hat{N}^c)} = H^0(K_v, \hat{N}^c)$. Moreover, $\hat{W}' = W$ which is a finite group. Hence $W' = W$. That completes the proof of the proposition. □

Example 3.3. *1. Let $N = \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\hat{N} = \bar{K}_v^*$ and $\hat{N}^c = \bar{O}_v^*$. We have $H^1(I_v, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, $H^1(K_v, \mathbb{G}_m) = 0$ and $Ext^1_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. In this case, (3.1) is no other than*

$$0 \to O_v^* \to K_v^* \to \mathbb{Z} \to 0.$$

2. *Let $N = \mathbb{Z}/n$. We have $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}/n} \simeq \mu_n$. Therefore, (3.1) becomes*

$$0 \to H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, \mathbb{Z}/n))^D \to H^1(K_v, \mu_n) \to Ext^1_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{Z}/n, \mathbb{Z}) \to 0. \tag{3.3}$$

We have $H^1(K_v, \mu_n) \simeq K_v^*/(K_v^*)^n$ and $Ext^1_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(\mathbb{Z}/n, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/n$. By [CF10, page 144], $I_v^{ab} \simeq O_v^*$. Thus, $H^2(I_v, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^1(I_v, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq I_v^D \simeq (O_v^*)^D$. Moreover, $H^1(I_v, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Hence, $H^1(I_v, \mathbb{Z}/n) \simeq (O_v^*/(O_v^*)^n)^D$. Therefore, (3.3) reduces to

$$0 \to O_v^*/(O_v^*)^n \to K_v^*/(K_v^*)^n \to \mathbb{Z}/n \to 0.$$

3. *Let $N = \hat{T}$ for some torus T over K_v. Then $H^0(K_v, \hat{N}) = T(K_v)$, $H^0(K_v, \hat{N}^c) = T_v^c$, the maximal compact subgroup of $T(K_v)$ and*

$$0 \to \frac{T(K_v)}{T_v^c} \to Hom_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(\hat{T}^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, \hat{T}))^D \to H^1(K_v, T) \to Ext^1_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(\hat{T}^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z}) \to 0. \tag{3.4}$$

4 Proof of the Main Theorem

Let T be an algebraic torus over a number field K with character group $\hat{T}$. Let S be a finite set of places of K containing S_∞. For $n \geq 1$, let $Ш^n_S(T)$ be the kernel of the restriction map

$$\Delta^n_S : H^n(K, T) \to \prod_{v \notin S} H^n(K_v, T).$$

Lemma 4.1. *We have the formula*

$$\frac{[\mathrm{cok}\Delta^1_S]}{[Ш^1_S(T)]} = \frac{[H^1(K, \hat{T})]}{[Ш^1(T)][Ш^2(T)] \prod_{v \in S}[H^1(K_v, T)]}. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & H^n(K,T) & \longrightarrow & H^n(K,T) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Delta^n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Delta^n_S} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v\in S} H^n(K_v,T) & \longrightarrow & \prod_v H^1(K_v,T) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v\notin S} H^n(K_v,T) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Applying the Snake lemma to the above diagram yields the exact sequence

$$0 \to Ш^n(T) \to Ш^n_S(T) \to \prod_{v \in S} H^n(K_v, T) \to \mathrm{cok}\Delta^n \to \mathrm{cok}\Delta^n_S \to 0. \tag{4.2}$$

Now we recall the Poitou-Tate exact sequence [Mil06, I.4.20],

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to Ш^1(T) \to H^1(K, T) \xrightarrow{\Delta^1} \prod_v H^1(K_v, T) \to \\ \to H^1(K, \hat{T})^D \to H^2(K, T) \xrightarrow{\Delta^2} \prod_v H^2(K_v, T) \to H^0(K, \hat{T})^D \to 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

From (4.3), we deduce that $\mathrm{cok}\Delta^2 \simeq H^0(K, \hat{T})^D$ and $[H^1(K, \hat{T})] = [\mathrm{cok}\Delta^1][Ш^2(T)]$. Note that for $n = 1$, (4.2) is an exact sequence of finite groups. Therefore, (4.1) follows from (4.2) and the fact that $[H^1(K, \hat{T})] = [\mathrm{cok}\Delta^1][Ш^2(T)]$. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let T be an algebraic torus over a number field K with character group $\hat{T}$. Let S be a finite set of places of K containing S_∞. Let $j : Spec(K) \to U = Spec(O_{K,S})$ be the inclusion of the generic point. Let $\Psi^n(j_*\hat{T})$ be the map $H^n_{et}(U, j_*\hat{T}) \to \prod_{v\in S} H^n(K_v, \hat{T})$. Then*

$$h_{T,S} = \frac{[Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)][H^1(K, \hat{T})]}{[\ker\Psi^1(j_*\hat{T})][Ш^1(T)] \prod_{v\in S}[H^1(K_v, T)] \prod_{v\notin S}[H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, \hat{T}))]}. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. From the short exact sequence of étale sheaves on U

$$0 \to \mathbb{G}_m \to j_*\mathbb{G}_m \to \coprod_{v\notin S} i_*\mathbb{Z} \to 0$$

we obtain the long exact sequence

$$... \to Ext^n_U(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m) \to Ext^n_U(j_*\hat{T}, j_*\mathbb{G}_m) \to \prod_{v\notin S} Ext^n_U(j_*\hat{T}, i_*\mathbb{Z}) \to ... \tag{4.5}$$

By Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.2, (4.5) can be rewritten as

$$... \to Ext^n_U(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m) \to H^n(K, T) \xrightarrow{\Theta^n_S} \prod_{v\notin S} Ext^n_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(\hat{T}^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z}) \to ... \tag{4.6}$$

From (4.6), we note that $\ker(\Theta^0_S) \simeq Hom_U(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ and

$$0 \to \operatorname{cok}(\Theta^0_S) \to Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m) \to \ker(\Theta^1_S) \to 0, \tag{4.7}$$

$$0 \to \operatorname{cok}(\Theta^1_S) \to Ext^2_U(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m) \to Ш^2_S(T) \to 0. \tag{4.8}$$

Note that $\operatorname{cok}(\Theta^0_S)$, $\ker(\Theta^1_S)$ are finite groups as $Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is finite. For each finite prime v of K, we split the sequence (3.4) into

$$0 \to T(K_v)/T^c_v \to Hom_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(\hat{T}^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z}) \to S_v \to 0, \tag{4.9}$$

$$0 \to S_v \to H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, \hat{T}))^D \to H^1(K_v, T) \to Ext^1_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(\hat{T}^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z}) \to 0. \tag{4.10}$$

From (4.9), we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & T(K) & \longrightarrow & T(K) & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Theta^0_S} & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v\notin S} \frac{T(K_v)}{T^c_v} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v\notin S} Hom_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(\hat{T}^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v\notin S} S_v & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

The Snake Lemma combining with (2.1) yield $T(O_{K,S}) \simeq Hom_U(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ and

$$0 \to Cl_S(T) \to \operatorname{cok}(\Theta^0_S) \to \prod_{v\notin S} S_v \to 0. \tag{4.11}$$

As $\operatorname{cok}(\Theta^0_S)$ is finite, (4.11) implies $\prod_{v\notin S} S_v$ is finite and

$$h_{T,S} = \frac{[\operatorname{cok}(\Theta^0_S)]}{\prod_{v\notin S}[S_v]} = \frac{[Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)]}{[\ker(\Theta^1_S)] \prod_{v\notin S}[S_v]}. \tag{4.12}$$

From (4.10), we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(K,T) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K,T) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Delta_S^1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Theta_S^1} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v\notin S} \frac{H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}},H^1(I_v,\hat{T}))^D}{S_v} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v\notin S} H^1(K_v,T) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v\notin S} Ext^1_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(\hat{T}^{I_v},\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

By the Snake Lemma, we obtain

$$0 \to Ш^1_S(T) \to \ker(\Theta^1_S) \to \prod_{v\notin S} \frac{H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}},H^1(I_v,\hat{T}))^D}{S_v} \to \mathrm{cok}(\Delta^1_S) \to \mathrm{cok}(\Theta^1_S) \to 0. \tag{4.13}$$

As $\mathrm{cok}(\Delta^1_S)$ and $\prod_{v\notin S} S_v$ are finite, so are $\mathrm{cok}(\Theta^1_S)$ and $\prod_{v\notin S} H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}},H^1(I_v,\hat{T}))$. Therefore from (4.13) and Lemma 4.1,

$$[\ker(\Theta^1_S)] = \frac{[\mathrm{cok}(\Theta^1_S)][Ш^1(T)][Ш^2(T)]\prod_{v\in S}[H^1(K_v,T)]}{[H^1(K,\hat{T})]} \prod_{v\notin S} \frac{[H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}},H^1(I_v,\hat{T}))^D]}{[S_v]}. \tag{4.14}$$

Putting together (4.12) and (4.14), we obtain

$$h_{T,S} = \frac{[Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T},\mathbb{G}_m)][H^1(K,\hat{T})]}{[\mathrm{cok}(\Theta^1_S)][Ш^1(T)][Ш^2(T)]\prod_{v\in S}[H^1(K_v,T)]\prod_{v\notin S}[H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}},H^1(I_v,\hat{T}))]}. \tag{4.15}$$

The theorem will follow from the next lemma. □

Lemma 4.3. *Notations as in Theorem* 4.2. *Then*

$$[Ш^2(T)][\mathrm{cok}(\Theta^1_S)] = [\ker Ш^1(j_*\hat{T})]. \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. From (2.3), we have an exact sequence

$$H^0(K,\hat{T}) \to \prod_{v\in S} H^0(K_v,\hat{T}) \to H^1_c(U,j_*\hat{T}) \to \ker Ш^1(j_*\hat{T}) \to 0. \tag{4.17}$$

Let R_1 be the cokernel of the map $H^0(K,\hat{T}) \to \prod_{v\in S} H^0(K_v,\hat{T})$. Then R_1^D can be identified with the kernel of the map

$$\prod_{v\in S} H^2(K_v,T) \to H^0(K,\hat{T})^D$$

from (4.3). As $Ext^2_U(j_*\hat{T},\mathbb{G}_m) \simeq H^1_c(U,j_*\hat{T})^D$, taking dual of (4.17) yields

$$0 \to (\ker Ш^1(j_*\hat{T}))^D \to Ext^2_U(j_*\hat{T},\mathbb{G}_m) \to R_1^D \to 0.$$

From (4.2) for $n = 2$, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (\ker Ш^1(j_*\hat{T}))^D & \longrightarrow & Ext^2_U(j_*\hat{T},\mathbb{G}_m) & \longrightarrow & R_1^D & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \simeq} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Ш^2(T) & \longrightarrow & Ш^2_S(T) & \longrightarrow & R_1^D & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array} \tag{4.18}$$

The lemma follows from (4.8) and diagram chasing. As a result, Theorem 4.2 is proved. □

Corollary 4.4. $T(O_{K,S}) \simeq Hom_U(j_*\hat{T},\mathbb{G}_m)$.

5 Class Number Formulas for Norm Tori and Their Duals

Let L/K be a Galois extension of number fields with Galois group G. For each prime v of K, choose a prime w of L lying over v. If v is finite, let D_w and I_w be the decomposition group and the inertia group of w. Let $e_v(L/K)$ be the ramification index of v in L. For any finite Galois extension L/K, let L'/K be the maximal abelian subextension of L/K. Let S be a finite set of places of K containing S_∞ and S' be the set of places of L which lie over a place in S. Let $\pi : Spec(L) \to Spec(K)$ and $\pi' : Spec(O_{L,S'}) \to Spec(O_{K,S})$ be the induced maps. If G is a finite group and M is a discrete G-module, we write $H^n_T(G, M)$ for the Tate cohomology.

Let $T = R^{(1)}_{L/K}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ be the norm torus corresponding to L/K and $T' = R_{L/K}(\mathbb{G}_m)/\mathbb{G}_m$ be the dual torus of T. In this section, we shall compute $h_{T,S}$ and $h_{T',S}$ using (1.1).

Lemma 5.1. *Let $T = R^{(1)}_{L/K}(\mathbb{G}_m)$. Then*

1. *$H^0(K, \hat{T}) = 0$ and $[H^1(K, \hat{T})] = [L' : K]$.*
2. *For any place v, $[H^1(K_v, T)] = [H^1(K_v, \hat{T})] = [L'_w : K_v]$.*
3. *For $v \notin S_\infty$, if w is a place of L lying above v then $[H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, \hat{T}))] = e_v(L'/K)$.*
4. *Let I_L be the group of ideles of L. Then $Ш^1(T) \simeq \ker(H^0_T(G, L^*) \to H^0_T(G, I_L))$*

Proof. 1. We have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}[G] \to \hat{T} \to 0. \tag{5.1}$$

From (5.1) and the fact that $H^n(G, \mathbb{Z}[G]) = 0$ for $n > 0$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(K, \hat{T}) &\simeq H^0(G, \hat{T}) \simeq H^1(G, \mathbb{Z}) = 0, \\ H^1(K, \hat{T}) &\simeq H^1(G, \hat{T}) \simeq H^2(G, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^1(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(G^{ab}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

2. From Tate's local duality, $[H^1(K_v, T)] = [H^1(K_v, \hat{T})] = [H^1(D_w, \hat{T})]$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ is also an induced D_w-module, part 2) can be obtained from (5.1) as in part 1).

3. We have $H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, \hat{T})) \simeq H^0(D_w/I_w, H^1(I_w, \hat{T}))$. From sequence (5.4) and the fact that $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ is an induced I_w-module, $H^1(I_w, \hat{T}) \simeq H^2(I_w, \mathbb{Z})$. Consider the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{m,n} = H^m(D_w/I_w, H^n(I_w, \mathbb{Z})) \Rightarrow E^{m+n} = H^{m+n}(D_w, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Note that $E_2^{m,1} = 0$ for all m and $E_2^{m,0} = 0$ for m odd. Therefore, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to (D_w/I_w)^D \to D_w^D \to H^0(D_w/I_w, H^2(I_w, \mathbb{Z})) \to 0.$$

Hence, $H^0(D_w/I_w, H^2(I_w, \mathbb{Z})) \simeq I_w^D$. Therefore, $[H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, \hat{T}))] = e_v(L'/K)$.

4. This is proved in [PR92, page 307].

□

Theorem 5.2 ([Ono87],[Mor91])**.** *Let $T = R^{(1)}_{L/K}(\mathbb{G}_m)$. Then*

$$h_{T,S} = \frac{h_{L,S'}[L' : K][H^0_T(G, O^*_{L,S'})]}{h_{K,S}[\ker(H^0_T(G, L^*) \to H^0_T(G, I_L))] \prod_{v \in S}[L'_w : K_v] \prod_{v \notin S} e_v(L'/K)}. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. We have the exact sequence of G_K-modules

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \pi_*\mathbb{Z} \to \hat{T} \to 0. \tag{5.3}$$

Since $R^1 j_*\mathbb{Z} = 0$, (5.3) induces the exact sequence of étale sheaves on $U = Spec(O_{K,S})$

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to \pi'_*\mathbb{Z} \to j_*\hat{T} \to 0.$$

The long exact sequence of Ext-groups yields

$$0 \to H^0_T(G, O_L^*) \to Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m) \to Pic(O_{L,S'}) \to Pic(O_{K,S}) \to R \to 0. \tag{5.4}$$

By Artin-Verdier Duality, R^D is the kernel of the map $H^2_c(U, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2_c(U, \pi'_*\mathbb{Z})$. From [Mil06, II.2.11] and the fact that $H^1_{et}(U, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $H^1(K_v, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & H^1_{et}(U, j_*\hat{T}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi^1(j_*\hat{T})} & \prod_{v\in S} H^1(K_v, \hat{T}) \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^2_c(U, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^2_{et}(U, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v\in S} H^2(K_v, \mathbb{Z}) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^2_c(U, \pi'_*\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^2_{et}(U, \pi'_*\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v\in S} H^2(K_v, \pi'_*\mathbb{Z})
\end{array}$$

By diagram chasing, $R^D \simeq \ker\Psi^1(j_*\hat{T})$. By (5.4),

$$\frac{[Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)]}{[\ker\Psi^1(j_*\hat{T})]} = \frac{h_{L,S'}[H^0_T(G, O^*_{L,S'})]}{h_{K,S}}. \tag{5.5}$$

Now (5.2) follows from (5.5), Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 5.1. □

Remark 5.3. *We note a simple but useful fact : if* $Pic(O_K) = 0$ *then* $\ker\Psi^1(j_*\hat{T}) = 0$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let* $T' = R_{L/K}(\mathbb{G}_m)/\mathbb{G}_m$. *Then*

1. $H^0(K, \hat{T}') = 0$ *and* $H^1(K, \hat{T}') \simeq \mathbb{Z}/[G]\mathbb{Z}$.
2. *For* $v \notin S_\infty$, $[H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, \hat{T}'))] = e_v(L/K)$.
3. $Ш^1(T') = 0$.

Proof. The character group of T' satisfies the following exact sequence

$$0 \to \hat{T}' \to \mathbb{Z}[G] \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{Z} \to 0. \tag{5.6}$$

Consider the long exact sequence of (5.8)

$$0 \to H^0(G, \hat{T}') \to H^0(G, \mathbb{Z}[G]) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} H^0(G, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(G, \hat{T}') \to 0.$$

The augmentation map ϵ in this case is just the multiplication-by-$[G]$ map. Hence, part 1) follows. Part 3) is proved in [Kat91, page 685]. Let us prove part 2). Let w be a prime of L dividing v.

$$H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, \hat{T}')) \simeq H^0(D_w/I_w, H^1(I_w, \hat{T}')).$$

By (5.6), $H^1(I_w, \hat{T}') \simeq H^0_T(I_w, \mathbb{Z})$. As D_w/I_w acts trivially on $H^0_T(I_w, \mathbb{Z})$,

$$[H^0(D_w/I_w, H^1(I_w, \hat{T}'))] = [H^0_T(I_w, \mathbb{Z})] = [I_w] = e_v(L/K).$$

□

Theorem 5.5 ([Kat91])**.** *Let $T' = R_{L/K}(\mathbb{G}_m)/\mathbb{G}_m$. Then*

$$h_{T',S} = \frac{h_{L,S'}[H^1(G, O^*_{L,S'})]}{h_{K,S}\prod_{v\notin S} e_v(L/K)}. \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. We have the following exact sequence of étale sheaves on $Spec(K)$

$$0 \to \hat{T}' \to \pi_*\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{Z} \to 0. \tag{5.8}$$

As $R^1 j_*(\pi_*\mathbb{Z}) = 0$, (5.8) induces

$$0 \to j_*\hat{T}' \to \pi'_*\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{Z} \to R^1 j_*\hat{T}' \to 0. \tag{5.9}$$

To ease notation, let $\mathcal{R} = R^1 j_*\hat{T}'$. We split (5.9) into

$$0 \to j_*\hat{T}' \to \pi'_*\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{Q} \to 0. \tag{5.10}$$

$$0 \to \mathcal{Q} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{R} \to 0. \tag{5.11}$$

Let β^n_c be the map $H^n_c(X, \mathcal{Q}) \to H^n_c(X, \mathbb{Z})$. From the long exact sequences of Ext-groups of (5.11), we obtain $Hom_U(\mathcal{Q}, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq O^*_{K,S}$ and

$$[Ext^1_U(\mathcal{Q}, \mathbb{G}_m)] = \frac{h_{K,S}[Ext^2_U(\mathcal{R}, \mathbb{G}_m)]}{[\mathrm{cok}(\beta^1_c)]}. \tag{5.12}$$

Let α^n_c be the map $H^n_c(X, \pi'_*\mathbb{Z}) \to H^n_c(X, \mathcal{Q})$. Similar argument applied to sequence (5.10) yields

$$[Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T}', \mathbb{G}_m)] \;=\; \frac{h_{L,S'}[\mathrm{cok}(\alpha^1_c)][S]}{[Ext^1_U(\mathcal{Q}, \mathbb{G}_m)]} = \frac{h_{L,S'}[S][\mathrm{cok}(\alpha^1_c)][\mathrm{cok}(\beta^1_c)]}{h_{K,S}[Ext^2_U(\mathcal{R}, \mathbb{G}_m)]}$$

where S satisfies the exact sequence

$$0 \to O^*_K \to O^*_L \to Hom_U(j_*\hat{T}', \mathbb{G}_m) \to S \to 0. \tag{5.13}$$

We claim that $S \simeq H^1(G, O^*_{L,S'})$. Indeed, from Corollary 4.4, $Hom_U(j_*\hat{T}', \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq T'(O_{K,S})$. Therefore, (5.13) can be identified with the sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{G}_m(O_{K,S}) \to R_{L/K}(\mathbb{G}_m)(O_{K,S}) \to T'(O_{K,S}) \to S \to 0 \tag{5.14}$$

which is part of the long exact sequence of cohomology associated with

$$0 \to \mathbb{G}_m(O_{L,S'}) \to R_{L/K}(\mathbb{G}_m)(O_{L,S'}) \to T'(O_{L,S'}) \to 0. \tag{5.15}$$

Note that $R_{L/K}(\mathbb{G}_m)(O_{L,S'})$ is an induced G-module thus $H^1(G, R_{L/K}(\mathbb{G}_m)(O_{L,S'})) = 0$. Consider the long exact sequence of cohomology associated with (5.15) and compare with (5.14), we obtain $S \simeq H^1(G, O^*_{L,S'})$. From Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 5.4, we have

$$h_{T',S} = \frac{h_{L,S'}[H^1(G, O^*_{L,S'})][L:K][\mathrm{cok}(\alpha^1_c)][\mathrm{cok}(\beta^1_c)]}{h_{K,S}\prod_{v\notin S} e_v(L/K)\prod_{v\in S}[H^1(K_v, \hat{T}')][\ker\Psi^1(j_*\hat{T}')][Ext^2_U(\mathcal{R}, \mathbb{G}_m)]}.$$

Theorem 5.5 will follow from the next lemma. □

Lemma 5.6. *Notations as in Theorem* 5.5. *Then*

$$\frac{[\mathrm{cok}(\alpha^1_c)][\mathrm{cok}(\beta^1_c)][L:K]}{[Ext^2_U(\mathcal{R}, \mathbb{G}_m)][\ker\Psi^1(j_*\hat{T}')]} = \prod_{v\in S}[H^1(K_v, \hat{T}')]. \tag{5.16}$$

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H^0_c(U,\mathcal{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H^0_{et}(U,\mathcal{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v\in S} H^0(K_v,\mathcal{Q}_v) & \longrightarrow & H^1_c(U,\mathcal{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H^1_{et}(U,\mathcal{Q}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta^0_c} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta^0_{et}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta^0_S} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta^1_c} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta^1_{et}} \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^0_c(U,\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^0_{et}(U,\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v\in S} H^0(K_v,\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^1_c(U,\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

As $\mathcal{R}$ is negligible, $\mathcal{R}_v = 0$, $\mathcal{Q}_v \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and β^0_S is an isomorphism. Hence $\mathrm{cok}(\beta^1_c) = 0$. Next we consider the diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H^0_c(U,\pi'_*\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^0_{et}(U,\pi'_*\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v\in S} H^0(K_v,\pi'_*\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^1_c(U,\pi'_*\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha^0_c} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha^0_{et}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha^0_S} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha^1_c} & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & H^0_c(U,\mathcal{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H^0_{et}(U,\mathcal{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v\in S} H^0(K_v,\mathcal{Q}_v) & \longrightarrow & H^1_c(U,\mathcal{Q}) & \longrightarrow & H^1_{et}(U,\mathcal{Q}) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & & & H^1_{et}(U,j_*\hat{T}') & \xrightarrow{\Psi^1(j_*\hat{T}')} & \prod_{v\in S} H^1(K_v,\hat{T}') & & & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0 & & & &
\end{array}$$

By diagram chasing, we have

$$[\mathrm{cok}(\alpha^1_c)] = [H^1_{et}(U,\mathcal{Q})][\mathrm{cok}\Psi^1(j_*\hat{T}')] = \frac{[H^1_{et}(U,\mathcal{Q})][\ker\Psi^1(j_*\hat{T}')]\prod_{v\in S}[H^1(K_v,\hat{T}')]}{[H^1_{et}(U,j_*\hat{T}')]}. \tag{5.17}$$

It is not hard to show that $[\mathrm{cok}(\alpha^0_c)] = [H^1_{et}(U,j_*\hat{T}')]$, $[\mathrm{cok}(\beta^0_c)] = [H^0_{et}(U,\mathcal{R})]/[H^1_{et}(U,\mathcal{Q})]$. Let $\epsilon^n_c : H^n_c(U,\pi'_*\mathbb{Z}) \to H^n_c(U,\mathbb{Z})$ be the composition $\beta^n_c \circ \alpha^n_c$. Note that ϵ^0_{et} can be identified with the augmentation map $H^0(G,\mathbb{Z}[G]) \to H^0(G,\mathbb{Z})$. Hence, $\mathrm{cok}(\epsilon^0_{et}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/[L:K]\mathbb{Z}$. As β^0_c is injective, $[\mathrm{cok}(\alpha^0_c)][\mathrm{cok}(\beta^0_c)] = [\mathrm{cok}(\epsilon^0_c)]$. Therefore,

$$[L:K] = \frac{[H^1_{et}(U,j_*\hat{T}')][H^0_{et}(U,\mathcal{R})]}{[H^1_{et}(U,\mathcal{Q})]} = \frac{[H^1_{et}(U,j_*\hat{T}')][Ext^2_U(\mathcal{R},\mathbb{G}_m)]}{[H^1_{et}(U,\mathcal{Q})]} \tag{5.18}$$

That completes the proofs of the lemma and Theorem 5.5. □

Corollary 5.7 ([Neu99]VI.3.5)**.** *Suppose L/K is a cyclic extension. Then the Herbrand quotient of $O^*_{L,S'}$ is given by*

$$h(G, O^*_{L,S'}) = \frac{\prod_{v\in S}[L_w : K_v]}{[L : K]}.$$

Proof. Since L/K is cyclic, by Hasse's theorem $\ker(H^0_T(G, L^*) \to H^0_T(G, I_L)) = 0$. In addition, $T \simeq T'$. Thus, the corollary follows by comparing the two formulas in Theorems 5.2 and 5.5. □

6 A Relative Class Number Formula for Isogenous Tori

Let $\lambda : T \to T'$ be an isogeny between two algebraic tori defined over a number field K. In other words, we have exact sequences

$$0 \to T'' \to T \to T' \to 0 \quad \& \quad 0 \to \hat{T}' \to \hat{T} \to \hat{T}'' \to 0 \tag{6.1}$$

where T'' is a finite algebraic group over K and $\hat{T}''$ is a finite discrete G_K-module. Then λ induces the following maps all of which have finite kernels and cokernels.

- $\lambda(O_{K,S}) : T(O_{K,S}) \to T'(O_{K,S})$.
- $\hat{\lambda}(H^n_{et}) : H^n_{et}(X, j_*\hat{T}') \to H^n_{et}(X, j_*\hat{T})$ and $\hat{\lambda}(H^n_c) : H^n_c(X, j_*\hat{T}') \to H^n_c(X, j_*\hat{T})$.
- Let $\alpha(T) = \ker\Psi^1(j_*\hat{T})$ and similarly for $\alpha(T')$. Then there is a map $\hat{\lambda}(\alpha) : \alpha(T') \to \alpha(T)$.
- For finite prime v, let $c_v(T) = H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, \hat{T}))^D$ and similarly for $c_v(T')$. We have $\lambda(O_v) : T^c_v \to T'^c_v$ and $\hat{\lambda}(c_v) : c_v(T) \to c_v(T')$.
- For any prime v, $\lambda(H^n(K_v)) : H^n(K_v, T) \to H^n(K_v, T')$ and $\hat{\lambda}(H^n(K_v)) : H^n(K_v, \hat{T}') \to H^n(K_v, \hat{T})$.
- For infinite prime v, $\lambda(H^n_T(K_v)) : H^n_T(K_v, T) \to H^n_T(K_v, T')$ and $\hat{\lambda}(H^n_T(K_v)) : H^n_T(K_v, \hat{T}') \to H^n_T(K_v, \hat{T})$.

Let α be a group homomorphism with finite kernel and cokernel. We define $q(\alpha) := [\mathrm{cok}(\alpha)]/[\ker(\alpha)]$. Then $q(\alpha)$ is multiplicative with respect to exact sequences [Ono61, 0.3.1]. The purpose of this section is to generalize a formula of Shyr for $h_{T,S}/h_{T',S}$ using (4.4).

Lemma 6.1.

$$\frac{q(\hat{\lambda}(H^0(K_v))}{q(\hat{\lambda}(H^{-1}(K_v))} = \begin{cases} [\mathrm{cok}(\hat{\lambda}(H^0(K_v))] & v \notin S_\infty, \\ \frac{[\ker(\hat{\lambda}(H^{-1}(K_v))][\mathrm{cok}(\hat{\lambda}(H^0(K_v))]}{[H^0(K_v, \hat{T}'')]} & v \in S_\infty. \end{cases}$$

Proof. If $v \notin S_\infty$ then this is clear. If $v \in S_\infty$ then this follows from diagram chasing and the fact that $[H^{-1}_T(K_v, \hat{T}'')] = [H^0_T(K_v, \hat{T}'')]$. □

Lemma 6.2. *For any prime v,*

$$\frac{q(\lambda(H^1(K_v))}{q(\lambda(H^0(K_v))} = \frac{|[\hat{T}'']|_v}{[\mathrm{cok}(\hat{\lambda}(H^0(K_v)))]}.$$

Proof. From the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(K_v, T'') \to H^0(K_v, T) \to H^0(K_v, T') \to H^1(K_v, T'') \to \ker(\lambda(H^1(K_v)) \to 0$$

and the facts that $[\ker(\lambda(H^1(K_v))] = [\mathrm{cok}(\hat{\lambda}(H^1(K_v))]$ and $[H^n(K_v, T'')] = [H^{2-n}(K_v, \hat{T}'')]$, we obtain

$$\frac{q(\lambda(H^1(K_v))}{q(\lambda(H^0(K_v))} = \frac{[H^2(K_v, \hat{T}'')][\ker(\hat{\lambda}(H^1(K_v))]}{[H^1(K_v, \hat{T}'')]} = \frac{|[\hat{T}'']|_v[\ker(\hat{\lambda}(H^1(K_v))]}{[H^0(K_v, \hat{T}'')]} = \frac{|[\hat{T}'']|_v}{[\mathrm{cok}(\hat{\lambda}(H^0(K_v)))]}.$$

where the second equality follows from [Mil06, I.2.8]. □

Lemma 6.3. *Let v be a finite prime of K. Then*

$$q(\lambda(c_v)) = q(\lambda(O_v))|[\hat{T}'']|_v. \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. From Corollary 3.3, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
T_v^c & \longrightarrow & T(K_v) & \longrightarrow & Hom_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(\hat{T}^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, \hat{T}))^D & \longrightarrow & H^1(K_v, T) & \longrightarrow & Ext^1_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(\hat{T}^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z}) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda(O_v)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda(H^0(K_v))} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda(Hom)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \hat{\lambda}(c_v)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda(H^1(K_v))} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \lambda(Ext)} \\
T'^c_v & \longrightarrow & T'(K_v) & \longrightarrow & Hom_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(\hat{T}'^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, \hat{T}'))^D & \longrightarrow & H^1(K_v, T') & \longrightarrow & Ext^1_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(\hat{T}'^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z})
\end{array} \tag{6.3}$$

Note that all the vertical maps have finite kernels and cokernels. We have

$$\frac{q(\hat{\lambda}(c_v))}{q(\lambda(O_v))} = \frac{q(\lambda(Hom))q(\lambda(H^1(K_v)))}{q(\lambda(Ext))q(\lambda(H^0(K_v)))}. \tag{6.4}$$

From (6.1), we have an exact sequence of $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$-modules

$$0 \to \hat{T}'^{I_v} \to \hat{T}^{I_v} \to P \to 0$$

where P is finite. As P is finite, $[Ext^n_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(P, \mathbb{Z})] = [H^{2-n}(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, P)]$. In particular, $[Ext^1_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(P, \mathbb{Z})] = [Ext^2_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(P, \mathbb{Z})]$. Moreover, $Ext^2_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(\hat{T}^{I_v}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, \hat{T}^{I_v})^D \simeq H^0(K_v, \hat{T})^D$. Thus, $[\ker(\lambda(Ext^2))] = [\mathrm{cok}(\hat{\lambda}(H^0(K_v)))]$. By direct calculation,

$$\frac{q(\lambda(Hom))}{q(\lambda(Ext))} = \frac{[Ext^1_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(P, \mathbb{Z})][\ker(\lambda(Ext^2))]}{[Ext^2_{\hat{\mathbb{Z}}}(P, \mathbb{Z})]} = [\mathrm{cok}(\hat{\lambda}(H^0(K_v)))]. \tag{6.5}$$

Now put together (6.4),(6.5) and Lemma 6.2, we obtain (6.2). □

Theorem 6.4 ([Shy77])**.** *Let $\lambda : T \to T'$ be an isogeny between two algebraic tori defined over a number field K. Let $\tau(T)$ and $\tau(T')$ be the Tamagawa numbers of T and T' respectively. Then*

$$\frac{h_{T,S}}{h_{T',S}} = \frac{\tau(T)\prod_{v\in S} q(\lambda(H^0(K_v)))\prod_{v\notin S} q(\lambda(O_v))}{\tau(T')q(\lambda(O_{K,S}))q(\hat{\lambda}(H^0_{et}))}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.2 and the fact that $\tau(T) = [H^1(K, \hat{T})]/[Ш^1(T)]$ (see [Ono63]),

$$\frac{h_{T,S}}{h_{T',S}} = \frac{\tau(T)}{\tau(T')} \frac{[Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)]}{[Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T}', \mathbb{G}_m)]} \frac{\prod_{v\notin S} q(\hat{\lambda}(c_v)) \prod_{v\in S} q(\lambda(H^1(K_v)))}{q(\hat{\lambda}(\alpha))}. \tag{6.6}$$

Combining Lemmas 6.2 and 6.3,

$$\prod_{v\notin S} q(\hat{\lambda}(c_v)) \prod_{v\in S} q(\lambda(H^1(K_v))) = \prod_{v\notin S} q(\lambda(O_v)) \prod_{v\in S} \frac{q(\lambda(H^0(K_v)))}{[\mathrm{cok}(\hat{\lambda}(H^0(K_v)))]}. \tag{6.7}$$

Sequence (6.1) induces an exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \to j_*\hat{T}' \to j_*\hat{T} \to j_*\hat{T}'' \to \mathcal{R} \to 0$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ is negligible as it is a subsheaf of $R^1 j_*\hat{T}'$. We can split this sequence into

$$0 \to j_*\hat{T}' \to j_*\hat{T} \to \mathcal{Q} \to 0, \tag{6.8}$$

$$0 \to \mathcal{Q} \to j_*\hat{T}'' \to \mathcal{R} \to 0 \tag{6.9}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}$ is a constructible sheaf. The long exact sequence of Ext-groups associated to (6.8) can be split into

$$0 \to Hom_U(\mathcal{Q}, \mathbb{G}_m) \to Hom_U(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\lambda(O_{K,S})} Hom_U(j_*\hat{T}', \mathbb{G}_m) \to S_1 \to 0, \tag{6.10}$$

$$0 \to S_1 \to Ext^1_U(\mathcal{Q}, \mathbb{G}_m) \to Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m) \to Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T}', \mathbb{G}_m) \to Ext^2_U(\mathcal{Q}, \mathbb{G}_m) \to S_2 \to 0. \tag{6.11}$$

The Artin-Verdier duality implies $S_2^D \simeq \mathrm{cok}(\hat{\lambda}(H^1_c))$. As $Hom_U(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq T(O_{K,S})$, (6.10) yields

$$q(\lambda(O_{K,S})) = \frac{[S_1]}{[Hom_U(\mathcal{Q}, \mathbb{G}_m)]}. \tag{6.12}$$

Combining (6.11) and (6.12) gives us

$$\frac{[Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)]}{[Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T}', \mathbb{G}_m)]} = \frac{[Ext^1_U(\mathcal{Q}, \mathbb{G}_m)][\mathrm{cok}(\hat{\lambda}(H^1_c))]}{[Ext^2_U(\mathcal{Q}, \mathbb{G}_m)][Hom_U(\mathcal{Q}, \mathbb{G}_m)] q(\lambda(O_{K,S}))}. \tag{6.13}$$

From [Mil06, II.2.13] and Artin-Verdier Duality, we deduce

$$\frac{[Ext^1_U(\mathcal{Q}, \mathbb{G}_m)][H^0_c(U, \mathcal{Q})]}{[Ext^2_U(\mathcal{Q}, \mathbb{G}_m)][Hom_U(\mathcal{Q}, \mathbb{G}_m)]} = \prod_{v\in S_\infty} [H^0(K_v, \mathcal{Q}_v)] = \prod_{v\in S_\infty} [H^0(K_v, \hat{T}'')].$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{[Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)]}{[Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T}', \mathbb{G}_m)]} = \frac{\prod_{v\in S_\infty} [H^0(K_v, \hat{T}'')][\mathrm{cok}(\hat{\lambda}(H^1_c))]}{[H^0_c(U, \mathcal{Q})] q(\lambda(O_{K,S}))}. \tag{6.14}$$

By diagram chasing, we can see that

$$\frac{q(\hat{\lambda}(H^1_c))}{q(\hat{\lambda}(H^0_c))} = \frac{[\mathrm{cok}(\hat{\lambda}(H^1_c))][\ker(\hat{\lambda}(H^0_c))]}{[H^0_c(U, \mathcal{Q})]}.$$

Thus, (6.14) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{[Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T},\mathbb{G}_m)]}{[Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T}',\mathbb{G}_m)]} = \frac{\prod_{v\in S_\infty}[H^0(K_v,\hat{T}'')]}{[\ker(\hat{\lambda}(H^0_c))]q(\lambda(O_{K,S}))}\frac{q(\hat{\lambda}(H^1_c))}{q(\hat{\lambda}(H^0_c))}. \tag{6.15}$$

We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
\prod\limits_{v\in S} H_T^{-1}(K_v,\hat{T}') & \longrightarrow & H^0_c(U,j_*\hat{T}') & \longrightarrow & H^0_{et}(U,j_*\hat{T}') & \longrightarrow & \prod\limits_{v\in S} H^0(K_v,\hat{T}') & \longrightarrow & H^1_c(U,j_*\hat{T}') & \longrightarrow & \alpha(T') \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \hat{\lambda}(H_S^{-1})} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \hat{\lambda}(H^0_c)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \hat{\lambda}(H^0_{et})} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \hat{\lambda}(H^0_S)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \hat{\lambda}(H^1_c)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \hat{\lambda}(\alpha)} \\
\prod\limits_{v\in S} H_T^{-1}(K_v,\hat{T}) & \longrightarrow & H^0_c(U,j_*\hat{T}) & \longrightarrow & H^0_{et}(U,j_*\hat{T}) & \longrightarrow & \prod\limits_{v\in S} H^0(K_v,\hat{T}) & \longrightarrow & H^1_c(U,j_*\hat{T}) & \longrightarrow & \alpha(T)
\end{array}$$

As $\hat{\lambda}(H^0_{et})$ is injective, $\ker(\hat{\lambda}(H^0_c)) \simeq \ker(\hat{\lambda}(H_S^{-1})) \simeq \prod_{v\in S_\infty}\ker(\hat{\lambda}(H^{-1}(K_v))$. Furthermore,

$$\frac{q(\hat{\lambda}(H^1_c))}{q(\hat{\lambda}(H^0_c))} = \frac{q(\hat{\lambda}(\alpha))q(\hat{\lambda}(H^0_S))}{q(\hat{\lambda}(H^0_{et}))q(\hat{\lambda}(H_S^{-1}))} = \frac{q(\hat{\lambda}(\alpha))}{q(\hat{\lambda}(H^0_{et}))}\prod_{v\in S_\infty}\frac{q(\hat{\lambda}(H^0(K_v))}{q(\hat{\lambda}(H^{-1}(K_v))}\prod_{v\in S-S_\infty}\frac{q(\hat{\lambda}(H^0(K_v))}{q(\hat{\lambda}(H^{-1}(K_v))}. \tag{6.16}$$

Combining (6.15), (6.16) with Lemma 6.1 yields

$$\frac{[Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T},\mathbb{G}_m)]}{[Ext^1_U(j_*\hat{T}',\mathbb{G}_m)]} = \frac{q(\hat{\lambda}(\alpha))}{q(\lambda(O_{K,S}))q(\hat{\lambda}(H^0_{et}))}\prod_{v\in S}[\mathrm{cok}(\hat{\lambda}(H^0(K_v))]. \tag{6.17}$$

Now Theorem 6.4 follows from (6.6), (6.7) and (6.17). □

References

[CF10] Cassels and Frohlich (editors), *"Algebraic Number Theory"*, 2nd edition, Proceedings of an instructional conference organized by the London Mathematical Society, 2010.

[GA08] C. González-Avilés, *"Chevalley's ambiguous class number formula for an arbitrary torus."*, Mathematical research letters 15.5 (2008): 1149-1165.

[GA10] C. Gonzalez-Aviles, *"On Néron-Raynaud class groups of tori and the Capitulation Problem."*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal) 2010.648 (2010): 149-182.

[Kat91] S. Katayama ,*"Isogenous tori and the class number formulae."*, Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University 31.3 (1991): 679-694.

[Mil80] J. Milne, *"Étale Cohomology"*, Princeton Mathematical Series, Princeton University Press, 1980.

[Mil06] J. Milne, *"Arithmetic Duality Theorems"*, 2nd edition, BookSurge LLC, 2006.

[Mor91] M. Morishita, *"On S-class number relations of algebraic tori in Galois extensions of global fields."*, Nagoya Mathematical Journal 124 (1991): 133-144.

[Neu99] J. Neukirch, *"Algebraic Number Theory"*, A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics, Springer, 1999.

[Ono61] T. Ono, *"Arithmetic of Algebraic Tori"*, Annals of Mathematics 74.1 , 1961.

[Ono63] T. Ono, *"On the Tamagawa Number of Algebraic Tori"*, Annals of Mathematics 78.1, 1963.

[Ono87] T. Ono *"On some class number relations for Galois extensions."*, Nagoya Mathematical Journal 107 (1987): 121-133.

[PR92] V. Platonov and A. S. Rapinchuk *"Algebraic groups and number theory."*, Russian Mathematical Surveys 47.2 (1992): 133-161.

[Ser95] J-P. Serre, *"Local Fields"*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, 1995.

[Ser97] J-P. Serre, *"Galois Cohomology"*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, 1997.

[Shy77] J. Shyr, *"On some Class Number relations of Algebraic Tori"*, The Michigan Mathematical Journal 24.3, 1977.

[Vos98] V. Voskresenskii, *"Algebraic Groups and Their Birational Invariants"*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 1998.

[Xar93] X. Xarles, *"The Scheme of Connected Components of the Néron model of an Algebraic Torus"*, Journal fur die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 1993.

Department of Mathematics, University of Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg, Germany.
Email : minh-hoang.tran@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de